

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT O

United States Patent and Trademark Office Adams COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nojio.grv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,727	09/28/2001	Lawrence C. Moulthrop JR.	PES-0033	3579

23462 7590 06/02/2004 CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06/002

PES-0033	3579			
EXAMINER KALAFUT, STEPHEN J				
	KALAFU			

OATE MAILEO: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			modelintoi E	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Stephen J. Kalafut		1745	
The MAILING DATE of this communics	tion appears on the cover she	et with the co	orrespondence a	ddress
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. Estatation of time may be available under the precisions of after 80% of WOMTHS from the many for size of the communic. If the period for reply specified above is riss from theiry 50% of the communic. If the period for reply specified size is less short theiry 50% of the communication of the commu	ATION. 17 CFR 1 158(a) In no event, however, in carfon. ays, a reply within the slatutory minimum pry period will appre SIX (b). By statute, cause the amplication to become	nay a reply be time of thety (30) days) MONTHS from to me ABANDONED	ely fied will be considered fim the mailing date of this or 135 U.S.C. 6 1331	elly communication
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	20			
	This action is non-final.			
Since this application is in condition for		matters pro	secution as to th	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice				io ilionib io
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the app				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration	١.		
5) Claim(s) 42-62 is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement	t.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected	d to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is required if the dra-	wing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 (FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office	Action or form P	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority do 	cuments have been received.			
Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received	in Applicatio	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have b	een receive	d in this Nationa	l Stage
application from the Internationa	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies	not received	d.	
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv	new Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawling Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT		r No(s) Mail Oat e of Informal Pa	itent Application (P1	O-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Oate (several dates).	6) Cher		.,	

Office Action Summary

U.S. Palant and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Applicant(s)

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040520

Application/Control Number: 09/966,727 Art Unit: 1745

Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no antecedent for "the water storage device" in claim 1. This claim also recites a "second water storage device", but no "first" such device. The term "inverted hydrogen storage device" in claim 2 is not understood, and is not defined in the specification. How is such a device "inverted"? Is this the "inverted hydrogen storage device" of claim 2 one possible variety of the "hydrogen storage system" in claim 1? Claim 15 is confusing as to whether the oxygen produced by the electrolysis module (second paragraph from the bottom) is the same as the "feed oxygen" recited in line 4 of this claim. Is the electrolysis module connected to the phase separation device? Claims 2-14 and 16-41 depend from claim 1 or claim 15 and would likewise he indefinite.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCov (US 5.510.202), cited by applicants.

McCoy discloses a regenerative fuel cell system including a fuel cell module (205), a hydrogen storage system (325) in communication with the fuel cell hydrogen inlet via a line (335); an oxygen source (330) in communication with the fuel cell oxygen inlet via a line (340), the gaseous part of an oxygen/water separator (300), i.e., a first water storage device, and another line (345); an electrolysis module (305) in communication with the oxygen/water separator via a line (350); and an outlet leading from the electrolysis module to a hydrogen/water separator (320), which is connected to both a second water storage device (310) and the hydrogen storage system, which would also be the "inverted hydrogen storage device", to the extent that the term is understood. The system may also include an oxygen vent in communication with the electrolyzer and the atmosphere, which would also be in communication with the oxygen/water senarator; and a metal hydride type of hydrogen storage device (column 4, lines 42.54).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention as not admixtally disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy.

These claims differ from McCoy by reciting the particular form of the hydrogen storage device. Because the skilled artisan would be aware of the effect surface area has on the ability of alloys to absorb hydrogen, determining an appropriate form would be within the skill thereof. For this reason, this claim would be obvious over McCoy.

Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy in view of Chen et al. (US 5.985.474).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,727 Art Unit: 1745

These claims differ from McCoy by reciting either a controller or a power conditioner, with a load, are in communication with the fuel cell. Chen et al. disclose a fuel cell (40) which is controlled by a controller (80), and which is also connected via a power conditioner (70) to a load, such the power requirements for a building (column 6, lines 61-67). Because these allow heat and electricity to be supplied to the building in response to its needs (column 3, lines 8-10), it would be obvious to use the controller and power conditioner of Chen et al. with the fuel cell system of McCoy, to allow it to be used in a building.

Claims 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy in view of Gogins (US 4,302,684).

These claims differ from McCoy by reciting several sources of electricity for the electrolysis module. Gogins discloses hydro-electric, solar, and wind as means to generate electricity (column 1, lines 10-18), which can be used as supplements to hydro-entoring electrolysis systems (column 2, lines 55-62). For this reason, it would be obvious to use electricity generated by hydro-electric, solar, and wind, as disclosed by Gogins, to provide power to the electrolysis module of McCoy.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCoy in view of Gogins as applied to claim6 above, and further in view of Parker et al. (US 5,443,804).

The above combination does not provide for power conditioning means between the source of electricity and the electrolysis module. Parker et al. disclose power conditioning means (120) between an electrolysis cell (70) and a power source (110). To convert the power generated by the means disclosed by Gogins into a form useful for the system disclosed by McCov, it would be obvious to use a power conditioner as disclosed by Parker et al.

Claims 3-5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations
of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art cited above, below or by applicants,
does not disclose the hear exchanger connected to the fuel cell and the electrolysis module in the
manner recited by claim 3.

Claims 15-41 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The method of operating a regenerative fuel cell system, including oxygen from a separation device, and later from the atmosphere, in the way recited by claim 14, is not disclosed by the prior art.

Claims 42-62 are allowed. The method of operating a regenerative fuel cell system which includes maintaining a fuel cell, which uses hydrogen ions, where it may attain its operating temperature in at most 1 minute, is not disclosed by the prior art. European 1,006.601 teaches that it should be fast, but does not disclose a specific time. WO 99 44,254 teaches such a start-up time, but for solid oxide (oxygen ion-using) fuel cells. The prior art also does not disclose the step of introducing water from the fuel cell through a vortex tube to a phase separation device, a fuel cell system using a dryer for hydrogen and two pressure regulators, or a system with a hydrogen drier, an electrolysis module, and a hydrogen water phase separator.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Frank et al. (US 6,541,141) and Moulthrop et al. (US 5980726) disclose fuel cells with hydrogen driers.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Drawing numerals 20, 24, 58 and 80 are not found in the specification. The numeral 48, on page 11, line 6, is not found in the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Kalafut whose telephone number is 571-272-1286. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, cornact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

> STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP